



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/851,038 05/07/2001		Quintin T. Phillips	10003896-1	2163	
75	90 05/16/2006	EXAMINER			
HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY Intellectual Property Administration P.O. Box 272400 Fort Collins, CO 80527-2400			PHAM, THIERRY L		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2625		

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/851,038	PHILLIPS, QUINTIN T.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thierry L. Pham	2625		

		Tillerry E. I Halli		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 15 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1 	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliancime periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aftice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A			
•	no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is		-	
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76		E FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
have bounder 3 set fort may re	ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exist CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orige than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ar of the date of
1	rile Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, ,	
	c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
((d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	•		
6. 🔲	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).	_		
١	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided to the selection of the sele	⊠ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	•		
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-11,29,30 and 34</u> .			
(Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		,	
- 1	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
•	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	•		•
REQU	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
	11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been consideration because:	ered but does NOT place the appli	cation in condition for	allowance
40 [See Continuation Sheet.	(DTO/OD/OD DTO 4440) D	11- (-)	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).			
13. 📙	Other:	C	Paurd Min	-e
			DAVID MO	ORE

DAVID MOORE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Part of Paper No. 20060511 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

- The arguments presented by the applicant have been considered but are not persuasive. Amendment made to claim 1 (deletion of features) changes the scope of the claim and requires further consideration and/or search. The applicant argued that the previous amendments made to claim 1 did not change the scope of the claim. In response, the examiner disagrees with such arguments. Previous amendment made to claim 1 did changed the scope of the claim and because of such amendment, a new ground of rejection was made which necessitated by Applicant's amendment, therefore, Office Action was made final.
- In addition, the applicant argued that the combination of features "visually presenting the print job in one or more selectable alternate color schemes" and "display the print job with the one or more alternate color schemes" from claims 29 and 34 (respectively) are equivalent to "displaying a visual representation of the print job with the user selected color scheme" as cited in previous amended claim 1. In response, the examiner disagrees. Features from claim 29 and 34 do not clearly teach the added limitations as amended in previous claim 1. "Displaying a visual representation of a print job" as cited in claim 1 is a print preview of an actual image data of the print job, and wherein "visually presenting the print job and displaying a print job" as cited in claims 29 and 34 are nothing more than a print dialog allowing users to select different color scheme for the print job.